Goals, end-points and scales Cristina Real-Puigdollers

(CLT/Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona)

Fong and Poulin (1998), Folli (2001), and Folli and Ramchand (2005), among others, argue that complex prepositions such as Fr. *jusqu'à* and It. *fino a* are accomplishment prepositions because they can combine with manner of motion verbs to derive telic interpretations:

(1)	Gianni	è∕*ha corso	fino	а	casa					
	Gianni	is/has run	up.to	at	home					
	'Gianni ran up to his house'									
(2)	Gianni ha	camminato	*(fino)	a	casa	in	un	sec	ondo).
	Gianni has	walked	up.to	at	house	in	one	sec	ond	
	'Gianni has	walked to his	house	in one	e secon	ď.	(Italian;	Folli	and	Ramchand
	2005:page)									

The above-mentioned authors argue that (1) and (2) are counterexamples to Talmy's (1985, 2000) typology, because the two verb-framed languages exhibit a construction in which a manner of motion verb appears with a PP introducing a goal, a construction found mainly in satellite-framed languages (*e.g.*, Eng. John walked to the store). Beyond Romance languages, Beavers (2008) shows that this sort of preposition exists also in Japanese, another verb-framed language. This author argues that the postposition *-made* is not restricted to denoting goals, since it can also function as a temporal modifier of the duration of the event, equivalent to English *until*. To Beavers, *-made* is a postposition that establishes that an entity is a limit, without necessarily carrying the semantics of a path, so it does not constitute a true counterexample to Talmy's typology. In this paper, I set eyes on the Catalan complex preposition *fins a*, which functions much as the delimiter prepositions described before by Beavers (2008). The objective of this investigation is to extend Beavers's analysis to account for the many meanings that *fins* exhibits in Catalan: *fins* appears in PPs with a goal interpretation as in (4), in PPs denoting a temporal boundary as in (5), modifying NPs as in (6), and as a scalar focal modifier as in (7):

(0), "		oului ic			5 m (7).							
(4)	Vam a		arriba	arribar		а	Monts	errat.				
	Aux.1	PL	arrive	;	up	at	Monts	serrat				
	'We arrived at <i>Montserrat</i> .'											
(5)	Hi	serem		fins	а	les	tres	de	la	tarda.		
	Loc	be.1P	L	up	at	the	three	of	the	aftern	afternoon	
'We will be there until three o'clock in the afternoon.'												
(6)	Van		arriba	ar	tard	fins	а	tres	perso	ones	diferents.	
	Aux.3P		arrive		late	up	at	three	different pe		people	
	'Up to three people arrived late.'											
(7)	Fins	(i	tot)	la	Maria	va	aprovar		l=assignatura.			
	Up	and	all	the	Mary	aux	pass	pass		the=course		
	'Even Mary passed the course.'											

First, I argue that the analysis of *fins a*-PPs as an accomplishment preposition (as proposed in Folli 2001 for It. *fino*) is empirically inadequate. First, the interpretation of *fins a*-PPs as a goal always depends on the verbal type: if the verb does not entail a goal, as *ballar* 'to dance' for example, *fins a*-PPs can also define the limits of the area where the movement takes place,

without necessarily entailing the existence of a path (compare 9 and 10); second, if *fins a*-PPs were accomplishement prepositions, we would expect them to change the aspectual interpretation of the predicate they combine with, contrary to fact. Activity predicates (like *ballar* 'dance' in (10)) in combination with *fins a*-PPs can combine with durative adverbials, without necessarily receiving the *series of identical events*' interpretation (SIE), which it is obtained with accomplishment PPs of the English type (11) (from MacDonald 2008).

- (9) Vam arribar la *l=habitació*. fins а porta de Aux.1PL arrive at the door of the=room up 'We arrived at the door of our room.'
- (10)Vam ballar fins la l=habitació durant hores. а porta de Aux.1PLdance up door of at the the=room for hours 'We dance in the space that is limited by the door of our room for hours.'

(11) The farmer dragged a log into the barn for an hour. (fine in the SIE interpretation) The evidence in (9) and (10) shows that the *fins a*-PP is not an accomplishment preposition. Moreover, the examples in (4-7) indicate that the semantics of *fins* goes beyond motion events. Consequently, I propose that the spatial *fins a*-PP is a complex preposition that contains a locative bounded preposition (whose exponent is *a*) and a modifier, *fins*. The status of *fins* as a modifier, and not as a preposition, comes from, first, its cross-categorial distribution as shown in the examples 4 to 7, where *fins* is shown to modify PPs, NPs or CPs; and, second, the fact that *fins* does not drop before complementizers as run-of-the-mill prepositions in Catalan do, (12):

(12) *Me='n* vaig oblidar *(fins) que m'=ho=vas dir. CL.1SG=cl.OBL aux.1SG forget up that CL.DAT.1SG=CL.ACC.3SG=AUX.2SG say 'I forgot it until you told me.'

In this paper I put forward that the semantic contribution of *fins* is that of specifying that a particular individual is an end-point, (13), which carries the presupposition that there is a set of ordered points, a scale G, such that x is the maximal point in that scale. The precise content of G depends on the type of individual that *fins* modifies. That the scalar meaning of *fins* is presuppositional is argued on the basis that the scalar meaning of *fins* survives negation, as exemplified here in (14). The definition that we propose is in (13):

(13) [[Fins]] = λx : $\exists G[x \in G \land \forall y [y \in G \rightarrow y \leq x]$. End-point(x)

(14)Fins i Maria (no) tot la va venir festa. а la Up and all the Maria (not) aux come to the party 'Even Mary (did not) come to the party'

The analysis of *fins* defended here gives us several benefits. The proposal allows us to understand why spatial *fins a*-PPs gives rise to a path interpretation in certain contexts, without having to assume that it inherently has a path semantics. Moreover, it paves the way to a unified analysis of the different uses of *fins* in Catalan, without having to assume multiple lexical entries. **Selected references.** Beavers, J. (2008). On the nature of goal marking and delimitation: Evidence from Japanese1. *Journal of Linguistics*, *44*(2), 283-316. Folli, R. (2001). Constructing Telicity in English and Italian. PhD Dissertation. University of Oxford; Fong, Vivienne and Christine Poulin. (1998). Locating Linguistic Variation in Semantic Templates. In Jean-Pierre Koenig (ed.), *Discourse and Cognition*. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications; Talmy, L. (1985). Lexicalization patterns: Semantic structure in lexical forms. In T. Shoepen (ed.), *Language typology and syntactic description*, 57-49. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.